IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 16066 of 2005

Between:

A.Subhashini W/o.Gopal Reddy
Society, Regd.No.643/03, H.No.6-93 Canara Nagar, Peezadiguda, Near Uppal Bus Depot,. Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep by its Prl. Secy Department of School Education, Secretariat buidlings,
Hyderabad.
2 The District Educational Officer Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Education Officer, Mandal Praja Parishad, Post Ghatkesar, Ranga Reddy Dist.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of second respondent in not according the permission to the petitioners school as illegal, arbitrary violative of Article 14,19 and 21 of the constitution of India.

Counsel for the Petitioner:M.VENKATA RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents.: GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

1. This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction of the respondents in passing the order on the representation of the petitioner dated 10-5-2005.

2. It is stated that the petitioner made an application for grant of Relaxation of Rule 5(7) and 8(2) and 6 of Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Establishment, Recognition, Administration and Control of Schools under Private Managements) Rules, 1993 for establishment of new school as per the provisions contained in G.O.Ms.No. 1, Education, dated 01-01-1994. The petitioner also sought for relaxation of certain provisions, such as, provision of playground etc., and it is stated that as per the directions the petitioner paid an amount of Rs.20,000/- and made a representation on 10-5-2005 to the respondent. But, however, the 2nd respondent did not pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner, which was made after complying the orders of the Government. It is stated that the time for admissions of the students is fast coming to an end. Therefore, appropriate orders are necessary.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for school education.

4. As the representation made by the petitioner dated 10-5-2005 is still pending with the 2nd respondent and as no orders have been passed, the 2nd respondent is directed to dispose of the representation dated 10-5-2005 by passing appropriate orders as sought for by the petitioner. The said exercise is directed to be completed, as expeditiously as possible, preferably on or before 31-7-2005.

5. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

_____

S.Ananda Reddy, J.

22nd July, 2005.

Note:

C.C. tomorrow

B/o

KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Prl. Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The District Educational Officer Ranga Reddy District at Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Education Officer, Mandal Praja Parishad, Post Ghatkesar, Ranga Reddy Dist.

4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Education, High Court buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 16066 of 2005

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

22nd July, 2005.